## KOS DIAGNOSTIC LAB 6349/1, NICHOLSON ROAD, AMBALA CANTT

Prisca 5.0.2.37

Date of report: 04/11/18

Patient data				
Name	MRS. MONIKA			1811220033/AMB
Birthday	29/09/93			1811220033/AMB
Age at sample date	25	1 Sample Dat	e	03/11/18
Gestational age	12 +	4		
Correction factors				
Fetuses 1	IVF	no	Previous trisomy 21	l no
Weight 69	diabetes	no	pregancies	
Smoker no	Origin	Asian		
Biochemical data		Ultrasound of	ata	
Parameter Value	Corr. Mo	M Gestational	age	12 + 4
PAPP-A 1.9 mIU/m	nl 0.0	Method		CRL Robinson
fb-hCG 59.6 ng/ml	1.	8 Scan date		03/11/18
Risks at sampling date			Crown rump length in mm 63	
Age risk	1:99	9 Nuchal trar	Nuchal translucency MoM 0.69	
Biochemical T21 risk	1:5	6 Nasal bone	,	
Combined trisomy 21 risk	,		`	MRS.) POONAM LOOMBA
-		O Qualificatio Trisomy 21	ns in measuring NT	MD
1:100 1:100 1:100 1:100 1:1000 1:11000 1:11000 1:11000 1:11000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:110000 1:1100000 1:1100000 1:1100000 1:1100000 1:11000000 1:1100000000			The calculated risk for Trisomy 21 (with nuchal translucency) is below the cut off, which indicates a low risk.  After the result of the Trisomy 21 test (with NT) it is expected that among 3312 women with the same data, there is one woman with a trisomy 21 pregnancy and 3311 women with not affected pregnancies.  The calculated risk by PRISCA depends on the accuracy of the information provided by the referring physician.  Please note that risk calculations are statistical approaches and have no diagnostic value!  The patient combined risk presumes the NT measurement was done according to accepted guidelines (Prenat Diagn 18: 511-523 (1998)).  The laboratory can not be hold responsible for their impact on the risk assessment! Calculated risks have no diagnostic value!	

Sign of Physician

Below Cut Off, but above Age Risk

below cut off

above cut off