Immunodiagnostics Pvt.Ltd.,

109, Pocket D&E, Local Shopping Complex,109, Pocket D&E, Local Shopping Complex,

Sarita Vihar

Prisca 5.1.0.17

Date of report: 27-05-2020

| Patient data | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------|--|
| Name | MRS. DEEPIKA | | 2005220339/AMB | |
| Birthday | 13-11-1997 | Sample ID | 2005220339/AMB | |
| Age at delivery | 23.0 | Sample Date | e 27-05-2020 | |
| Gestational age | 13 + 3 | | | |
| Correction factors | | | | |
| Fetuses 1 | IVF | no | Previous trisomy 21 no | |
| Weight 41.6 | diabetes | no | pregnancies | |
| Smoker no | Origin | Asian | | |
| Biochemical data | Ultrasound data | | ata | |
| Parameter Value | Corr. MoM | Gestational age 12 + 5 | | |
| PAPP-A 10.1 mIU/m | l 1.38 | Method CRL Robinson | | |
| fb-hCG 184 ng/ml | 5.33 | Scan date 22-05-2020 | | |
| Risks at term | | Crown rump length in mm 66.1 | | |
| Age risk | 1:1463 | Nuchal translucency MoM 0.59 | | |
| Biochemical T21 risk | 1:517 | Nasal bone present | | |
| Combined trisomy 21 risk | nbined trisomy 21 risk 1:2859 | | Sonographer . | |
| Trisomy 13/18 + NT | <1:10000 | | | |
| Risk 1:10 | sk 1:10 Trisomy 21 The calculated risk for Trisomy 21 (with nuchal | | | |
| 1:1000 1:10000 1:10000 13 15 17 19 21 23 25 27 29 31 33 32 Trisomy 13/18 + NT The calculated risk for trisomy 13 translucency) is < 1:10000, which risk. | Age /18 (with nuchal | translucency) is below the cut off, which indicates a low risk. After the result of the Trisomy 21 test (with NT) it is expected that among 2859 women with the same data, there is one woman with a trisomy 21 pregnancy and 2858 women with not affected pregnancies. The free beta HCG level is high. The calculated risk by PRISCA depends on the accuracy of the information provided by the referring physician. Please note that risk calculations are statistical approaches and have no diagnostic value! The patient combined risk presumes the NT measurement was done according to accepted guidelines (Prenat Diagn 18: 511-523 (1998)). The laboratory can not be hold responsible for their impact on the risk assessment! Calculated risks have no diagnostic value! | | |

Sign of Physician

below cut off Below Cut Off, but above Age Risk

above cut off